arXiv:1602.02556v1 [math.CV] 8 Feb 2016

Geometry of compact complex manifolds with maximal torus action *

Ustinovskiy Yury †

Abstract

In this present paper we study geometry of compact complex manifolds equipped with a *maximal* torus $T = (S^1)^k$ action. We give two equivalent constructions providing examples of such manifolds given a simplicial fan Σ and a compelx subgroup $H \subset T_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^k$. On every manifold M we define the canonical holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and under additional restrictions construct transverse-Kähler form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$. As an application of these constructions, we prove some results on geometry of manifolds M regarding its analytic subsets.

1 Introduction

Since the 1970th toric varieties V_Σ play particularly important role in algebraic geometry [1, 2, 3]. Due to the existence of a large groups of symmetries, toric varieties could be explicitly described in terms of combinatorial geometry. Numerous results relating geometric properties of toric varieties and the characteristics of the underlying combinatorial objects provide powerful tools for enumerative algebraic geometry, combinatorial geometry [4], number theory [5], algebraic topology [6].

Until the recent times, the situation in complex-analytic category was far less well studied. There were very few explicit examples of complex-analytic manifolds admitting torus action and no formal notion of a "large group of symmetries". However, since the beginning of 2000s there were discovered several new families of complex manifolds admitting compact torus $T = (S^1)^m$ action, see [7, 8, 9] and results about their complex geometry [10, 11,

*

†Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
E-mail: yuraust@gmail.com

12]. In 2010 in [13] authors constructed a large family of compact complex manifolds equipped with a torus action, which includes all previous examples as its special cases. Finally, in 2013 in [14] there were introduced the notion of *maximal torus action* and presented a construction yielding all compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action.

In this paper we prove, that the family of manifolds presented in [13] coincide with the set of compact complex manifolds admitting maximal torus action. Moreover, approach of [13] turns out to be a complex-analytic analogue of Cox-Batyrev construction of toric varieties [3].

Despite the new explicit construction of compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action, there are very few results on their geometry. Since almost all of them are non-Kähler, most of the methods of complex geometry are not applicable. We introduce canonical holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on the manifolds under consideration and, under some restrictions on the underlying combinatorial data, we construct differential form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ transverse-Kähler with respect to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Thus, we generalized results of [11] on complex geometry of *moment-angle-manifolds*. As an application of this construction, we prove some results on meromorphic functions and analytic subsets of compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action.

I would like to take this opportunity of thanking my advisers V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov for the attention to the research. I am also very grateful to M. Verbitsky and H. Ishida for numerous fruitful discussions.

2 Maximal torus actions

Let M be a smooth compact manifold without a boundary equipped with a smooth effective torus $T = (S^1)^k$ action. In this section we are interested in certain topological constraints the existence of a torus action put on manifold M.

Theorem 2.1 ([15], see alse [14])**.** *Let T be a torus acting effectively and smoothly on a smooth manifold M. Then for every $x \in M$ one has*

$$\dim M \geqslant \dim T + \dim T_x, \tag{1}$$

where $T_x \subset T$ is the stabilizer of x.

Theorem 2.1 motivates introduction of the notion of *maximal torus action* on a smooth manifolds M, see [14].

Definition 2.2 ([14, Def. 2.1])**.** Effective action of a torus T on a smooth manifold M is said to be *maximal*, if there exists $x \in M$ such that inequality (1) turns into the equality:

$$\dim M = \dim T + \dim T_x, \tag{2}$$

The following proposition is the direct consequence of Theorem 2.1:

Proposition 2.3 ([14, Lemma 2.2])**.** *Let T be a torus acting smoothly and effectively on the connected smooth manifold M. Assume that the induced action of a toric subgroup $T_0 \subset T$ is maximal. Then $T_0 = T$.*

Proposition 2.3 implies that a maximal action $T : M$ could not be extended to an action of a larger torus $T' \supset T$. Let us provide several examples of manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action.

Example 2.4. There are two possible extreme case in the equation (2) — (i) $\dim T_x = 0$ for some (therefore for any) point $x \in M$; (ii) $\dim T_x = \dim T$ for some point x.

1. In the case (i) one obtains only tori T acting on themselves $T \times T \to T$. This action is free, i.e. for any point $x \in T$ stabilizer T_x is trivial, $\dim T_x = 0$, and inequality (1) turn into the equation.

2. The case (ii) already provides a lot of interesting examples, including, in particular, compact symplectic manifolds equipped with a Hamiltonian action of a half-dimensional torus, classified by Delzant [16]. For instance, let $T = U(1)^n$ be a torus acting on a complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ via coordinate-wise multiplication in homogeneous coordinates:

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \cdot [z_0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n] = [z_0 : t_1 z_1 : \cdots : t_n z_n].$$

In this case the point $x = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ is fixed, i.e. $T_x = T$, so $\dim \mathbb{C}P^n = \dim T + \dim T_x$ and the action is maximal.

3. Let $S^{2n-1} = \{z \subset \mathbb{C}^n \colon |z| = 1\}$ be a unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Torus $T = U(1)^n$, acting on $\mathbb{C}^n$ via coordinate-wise multiplication, preserves the sphere. Stabilizer of the point $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the coordinate subtorus $T_x = \{(1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in T\}$ and inequality (1) again turns into an equation.

Note, that the class of *smooth* manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action if very large and apparently does not have complete description. In particular, given a manifold M equipped with a maximal torus T action and a any manifold N with effective torus T action, one can construct new manifold $M \#_{T \cdot y} N$ taking equvariant connected sum along free T orbit:

$$M \#_{T \cdot y} N = \big(M \backslash U(T \cdot y)\big) \bigcup_{\partial U(T \cdot y)} \big(N \backslash U(T \cdot y)\big), \tag{3}$$

where $U(T \cdot y)$ is an equivariant tubular neighbourhood. Since maximality of a torus action is provided by conditions at one point $x \in M$, action of the torus T on $M\#_{T\cdot y}N$ is again maximal.

As we will see further, the situation in complex-analytic category changes fundamentally. In particular, the results of [14] imply that all compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action have explicit description similar to the description of smooth complete toric varieties [2]. We present the construction from [14] and the analogue of Cox-Batyrev construction [3].

3 Complex manifolds

Definition 3.1. Smooth action of a group G on an almost complex manifold M *preserves almost complex structure* J, if for any $g \in G$ multiplication $m_g\colon M \to M$ by g commutes with the operator of almost complex structure:

$$dm_g \circ J = J \circ dm_g.$$

Further all groups act on *complex-analytic* manifolds, preserving the corresponding almost complex structure.

Torus action $T : M$ defines homomorphism from the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{t}$ of T to the Lie algebra of vector fields on the manifold: $\rho\colon \mathfrak{t} \to \mathcal{L}(M)$. This homomorphism could be complexified by the means of the almost complex structure operator: $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathfrak{t} \to \mathcal{L}(M)$. In [14] it is proved that the integrability of the almost complex structure guarantees that $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Consequently, the group $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on M via exponential map. Since the lattice N dual to the character lattice of T acts trivially on M, the action of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ descends to the action of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}/N$. This implies the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2 ([14, Section 3])**.** *Let T be the torus acting on the complex manifold M. The action $T : M$ can be extended to the* complexified action *of the algebraic torus $T_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim T}$ on M.*

Note, that the action $T_{\mathbb{C}}\colon M$ is not necessarily effective. Thus let us introduce the following group:

$$H := \{h \in T_{\mathbb{C}} | \forall x \in M \ hx = x\} \tag{4}$$

Since the torus action preserves complex structure, H is a commutative complex subgroup. Moreover, since compact part $T \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts effectively, $H \cap T = \{e\}$ and $H \simeq \mathbb{C}^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let action $T\colon M$ be maximal. In this case subgroup $H \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}$ allows to construct *canonical holomorphic foliation* $\mathcal{F}$ on M, which turns out to be an extremely effective tool for study of complex geometry of M.

Construction 3.3 (Canonical holomorphic foliation)**.** The results of [14] imply, that subgroup $T_{\mathbb{C}}/H$ acts effectively on manifold M with a dense open orbit. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be th Lie algebra of H, and $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ be its complex conjugate Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathfrak{t}$. Note, that both $\mathfrak{h}, \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are complex Lie algebras.

$H' = \exp \overline{\mathfrak{h}} \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}$ orbits define foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M which is further referred to as *canonical*. Since $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{t} = \{0\}$, vector spaces $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}$ are transverse, thus group $H' \cap H$ is discrete and leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ inside the open $T_{\mathbb{C}}/H$ orbit are isomorphic to $H'/(H \cap H') \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H}/\Lambda$, where $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H}$ is some discrete subgroup. The dimension of foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{\mathbb{C}} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = \frac{1}{2}(\dim T - \dim T_x).$$

Note, that the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ are not necessarily closed.

Example 3.4 (Hopf surface)**.** Let $A\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a semi-simple linear operator with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = e^{2\pi i q_1}, \lambda_2 = e^{2\pi i q_2}$ such that $|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2| > 1$. *Hopf surface* is a manifold

$$\mathcal{H} := (\mathbb{C}^2 \backslash \{0\})/\Gamma,$$

where $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is a group acting on $\mathbb{C}^2$ generated by A. It is straightforward to check, that $\mathcal{H}$ is a complex manifold diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^1$.

Group $G = (\mathbb{C}^*)^2/\Gamma \simeq (S^1)^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}$ with the dense open orbit, and toric subgroup

$$T \simeq (S^1)^3 \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^2/\Gamma$$
$$(e^{2\pi i t_1}, e^{2\pi i t_2}, e^{2\pi i t_3}) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i (t_1 + q_1 t_3)}, e^{2\pi i (t_2 + q_2 t_3)})$$

acts maximally: stabilizer T_z of $z = [(1,0)] \in \mathcal{H}$ is $\{(1, e^{2\pi i t_2}, 1)\}$, so

$$\dim T + \dim T_z = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H} = 4$$

In this case $T_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ and given identification $T \simeq (S^1)^3$, fixed above, the kernel of the action $T_{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathcal{H}$ is the subgroup $H = \{(e^{wq_1}, e^{wq_2}, e^{-w}) | w \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $G = T_{\mathbb{C}}/H$.

4 Quotients of non-singular toric varieties

In this section we discuss in details two equivalent constructions providing all compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action. Since both of them are closely related to the construction of *toric varieties*, we start with some basic facts required for their description and classification. Detailed introduction into the theory of toric varieties could be found in [2, 1].

4.1 Toric varieties

Definition 4.1. Let $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_k \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of vectors. *Polyhedral cone* spanned by vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_k$ is the set

$$\sigma = \{\mu_1 \boldsymbol{a}_1 + \cdots + \mu_k \boldsymbol{a}_k | \mu_i \geqslant 0\}.$$

Cone σ is *strictly convex*, if it does not contain a line. *Strictly convex* cone is *simplicial* if vectors it is spanned by are linearly independent. Cone σ is *regular* if it is spanned by a part of a basis of some fixed lattice $N \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition 4.2. *Dual cone* for a cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set

$$\check{\sigma} = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N^*_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \forall \boldsymbol{a} \in \sigma \ \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{a} \rangle \geqslant 0\}.$$

Definition 4.3. *Fan* is a set of cones $\Sigma = \{\sigma_i\}_i$, such that (1) face of each cone is an element of the set, (2) intersection of any pair of cones is a face of each of them. Fan Σ is *regular*, if all its cones are regular and is *complete*, if $\cup_i \sigma_i = N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 4.4. *Toric variety* is a normal irreducible algebraic variety V containing an algebraic torus $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ as an open dense subset, such that the action of the torus on itself extends to the whole variety

Examples of toric varieties are $\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{C}^n \backslash \{0\}$.

The main result of the theory toric varieties establishes a one-to-one correspondence between non-singular toric varieties and regular fans in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of a compact torus $T \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}$. Namely, every smooth toric variety V could by obtained via the following construction:

Construction 4.5 (Toric varieties)**.**
Let Σ be a fan in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of a compact torus T. Suppose the fan Σ is non-singular with respect to the lattice $N \subset \mathfrak{t}$, dual to the character lattice. For each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we define an algebra $\mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap N^*]$ and an open

chart $U_\sigma = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\check{\sigma} \cap N^*]$. The set of all charts U_σ is partially ordered by inclusion in the same way as the set of cones of Σ. Let us introduce the scheme

$$V_\Sigma := \varprojlim_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_\sigma.$$

The scheme V_Σ turns out to be a non-singular variety equipped with an action of the algebraic torus $T_\mathbb{C} = (\mathfrak{t}/N)_\mathbb{C}$, which acts with an open dense orbit. Variety V_Σ is compact of and only if fan Σ is complete, see [2].

4.2 Compact complex manifolds with maximal torus actions

There is similar classification of compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action. We start with the construction from [13].

Construction 4.6 (Quotient construction-I)**.** Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a *simplicial complex* on the set of vertices $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$, i.e. a family of subsets of $[m]$ closed under the operation of taking subsets. Let $\Sigma_\mathcal{K}$ be a simplicial fan in $\mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\Sigma_\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \langle e_i | i \in I \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_\geqslant}, \tag{5}$$

where $e_1 \dots, e_m$ is the fixed basis of $\mathbb{R}^m$, I runs over all simplices of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\langle e_i | i \in I \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_\geqslant}$ is cone spanned by vectors e_i. Let $U(\mathcal{K}) := V_{\Sigma_\mathcal{K}}$ be the corresponding toric variety equipped with the action of the torus $T_\mathbb{C} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ and let $\mathfrak{t}$ be the Lie algebra of the compact torus $T \subset T_\mathbb{C}$. It is easy to check, that $U(\mathcal{K})$ is the complement of the arrangement of coordinate subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^m$:

$$U(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \bigcup_{J \notin \mathcal{K}} \{z_j = 0 | j \in J\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathfrak{t}$ be a complex subspace satisfying the following two conditions:

(a) group $H = \exp \mathfrak{h} \subset T_\mathbb{C} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{[m]}$ trivially intersect coordinate subtori of the form $(\mathbb{C}^*)^I$ for $I \in \mathcal{K}$;

(b) projection $q \colon \mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{t}/p(\mathfrak{h})$, where $p \colon \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{t}$ is the natural projection on the real part, bijectively maps the fan $\Sigma_\mathcal{K}$ to the complete fan $q(\Sigma_\mathcal{K})$.

As it is proved in [13], conditions on the subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ guarantee that the group H acts freely and properly on $U(\mathcal{K})$ and the orbit space $M = U(\mathcal{K})/H$ is a compact complex manifold equipped with a maximal torus action.

Another construction providing large family of manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action is presented in [14]:

Construction 4.7 (Quotient construction-II)**.** Let V_Σ be a non-singular toric variety equipped with an action of a torus $T_\mathbb{C}$; $\mathfrak{t}$ is a Lie algebra of the compact torus $T \subset T_\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathfrak{t}$ be a complex subspace satisfying the following two conditions:

(a) $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{t} = \{0\}$, i.e. the restriction $p|_\mathfrak{h}$ of the projection $p\colon \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{t}$ is the inclusion;

(b) projection $q\colon \mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{t}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ maps bijectively the fan Σ to the complete fan $q(\Sigma)$.

Consider the group $H := \exp \mathfrak{h} \subset T_\mathbb{C}$. It can be proven, that conditions (a) and (b) imply that the group H acts on V_Σ freely and properly and the orbit space

$$M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}) := V_\Sigma/H$$

is a compact complex manifold equipped with a maximal torus T action.

Remarkable result of [14] states:

Theorem 4.8 ([14, Cor. 6.7])**.** *Any compact complex manifold equipped with a maximal torus action can be obtained via Construction 4.7.*

Note, that the second construction operates with a larger family of toric varieties, while the first construction considers more general subgroups $H \subset T_\mathbb{C}$. Below we show that these two approached are in fact equivalent.

Theorem 4.9. *Any compact complex manifold equipped with a maximal torus action can be obtained via construction 4.6.*

Theorem 4.9 allows to separate out combinatorial (simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$) and geometric (subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$) data from the data $(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ defining manifold $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$. Thus, Construction 4.6 is essentially an analogue of the Cox-Batyrev construction of toric varieties [3].

Proof. Let M be an arbitrary compact complex manifold equipped with a maximal torus action. According to Theorem 4.8 manifold M is the quotient $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}) = V_\Sigma/H$ for some fan $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{t}$ and subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$.

It follows from Cox-Batyrev construction [3] that any non-singular toric variety V_Σ with an action of $T_\mathbb{C}$ is a G quotient of $U(\mathcal{K})$ for some algebraic

subgroup $G \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$. Here $\mathcal{K}$ is partially ordered set of cones of Σ, which is simplicial complex, since Σ is regular and $T_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^m/G$:

$$V_\Sigma = U(\mathcal{K})/G.$$

Let $\pi\colon (\mathbb{C}^*)^m \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^m/G$ be the natural projection. Then the manifold M is the orbit space of $\pi^{-1}(H) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^m$ acting on $U(\mathcal{K})$. This description almost coincide with Construction 4.6 except for the fact the group $\pi^{-1}(H)$ is not necessarily connected, i.e. it has the form $H' \times \Gamma$, where H' is connected and Γ is a finite abelian group. To fill up this gap we use the following simple proposition:

Proposition 4.10. *Let group $\mathbb{C}^*$ be acting on a manifold M. Suppose that the subgroup $G_k \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ of k-th roots of unity acts freely on M. Then*

$$M/G_k \simeq (M \times \mathbb{C}^*)/\mathbb{C}^*,$$

where group $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on the manifold $M \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in the following manner:

$$w \cdot (m, z) = (w \cdot m, w^k z).$$

It follows from Proposition 4.10 that for some r the $H' \times \Gamma$-quotient of $U(\mathcal{K})$ coincides with the $H' \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$-quotient of $U(\mathcal{K}) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$:

$$M = V_\Sigma/H = U(\mathcal{K})/(G \times H) = (U(\mathcal{K}) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^r)/(H' \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^r).$$

Since the group actions $H\colon V_\Sigma$ and $G\colon U(\mathcal{K})$ are free, the group $H'' = H' \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ acts freely as well, thus condition (a) of Construction 4.6 is satisfied. Condition (b) is satisfied also, since according to the Cox-Batyrev construction, fan $\Sigma_\mathcal{K}$ projects bijectively onto the fan Σ, which in turn, according to condition (b) of Construction 4.7 bijectively projects to the complete fan. □

In the example below Constructions 4.6 and 4.7 coincide.

Example 4.11 (Hopf surface)**.** Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a fan with two one-dimensional cones spanned by vectors $(1, 0)$ $(0, 1)$. Corresponding toric variety V_Σ is $\mathbb{C}^2\backslash\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

Consider complex subspace $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$. It follows from the conditions (a) and (b) of Construction 4.7, that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h} = 1$, i.e. $\mathfrak{h} = \{(\alpha_1 z, \alpha_2 z, \alpha_3 z) | z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to check, that $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfies conditions (a) and (b) if and only if imaginary parts $\mathrm{im}(\alpha_1/\alpha_3)$ and $\mathrm{im}(\alpha_2/\alpha_3)$ have the same sign.

Given Σ and $H = \exp \mathfrak{h}$ as above, manifold $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ is

$$M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}) = V_\Sigma / H = (\mathbb{C}^2 \backslash \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^*) / \{(e^{\alpha_1} z, e^{\alpha_2} z, e^{\alpha_3} z) | z \in \mathbb{C}\} = (\mathbb{C}^2 \backslash \{0\}) / \Gamma,$$

where generator of the group $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2 \backslash \{0\}$ via the coordinate-wise multiplication by $(e^{2\pi i \alpha_1/\alpha_3}, e^{2\pi i \alpha_2/\alpha_3})$. Therefore manifold $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Hopf surface and conditions on $\mathfrak{h}$ are equivalent to the conditions on λ_i in Example 3.4.

5 Complex geometry of manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$

It follows from the general results on the cohomology ring of manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ (see [17, 8.39], [7]) that almost all of them do not admit symplectic structure: top power of any element $\alpha \in H^2(M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}))$ is zero. Thus, most of the manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ are non-Kähler. In this section we prove, that despite non-existence of Kähler structure, many of the manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ admit *transverse-Kähler structure* $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$, which vanishes along canonical foliation $\mathcal{F}$ and is positive in the transverse directions. The form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ turns out to be a powerful tool for the study of complex geometry of manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$. For example, in [11] existence of the form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ allows to describe all analytical subsets on certain *moment-angle-manifolds*, i.e. manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$, corresponding to $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathcal{K}}$.

5.1 Transverse-Kähler forms

Definition 5.1. Let M be a complex manifold. Differential form $\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M)$ is *transverse-Kähler* with respect to the holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$, if

(a) ω is closed, $d\omega = 0$;

(b) ω non-negative, i.e. $\omega(X, JX) \geqslant 0$ for any vector X;

(c) $\omega(X, JX) = 0$ if and only if vector X is tangent to the foliation: $X \in T\mathcal{F}$.

Example 5.2 (Hopf surface)**.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hopf surface from Example 3.4 with identical λ_i. In this case group Γ, generated by (λ_1, λ_2) is a subgroup of $\mathbb{C}^*$, acting on $\mathbb{C}^2$ diagonally, therefore $\mathcal{H}$ fibres over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with the fibre $\mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma$:

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \backslash \{0\} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{C}^*/\Gamma} \mathbb{C}P_1.$$

Consider the differential form $\omega = \pi^*\omega_{FS} \in \Lambda^{1,1}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\pi\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is the projection, ω_{FS} is the Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Since the form ω_{FS} is positive, the form ω is transverse-Kähler with respect to the foliation by the fibres of π.

Prior to constructing transverse-Kähler forms on manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ let us introduce some notions from convex geometry.

Definition 5.3. Let Σ be a complete fan in the vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let us fix vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_m$ generating one-dimensional cones of Σ and the set of real numbers $b_1, \ldots, b_m$. Consider m linear inequalities in the dual space $N^*_{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + b_i \geqslant 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \tag{6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} \in N^*_{\mathbb{R}}$. For every cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of the maximal dimension let us define *vertex* $\boldsymbol{u}_\sigma \in N^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ as the solution of the system of $\dim N_{\mathbb{R}}$ linear equations:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_\sigma \rangle + b_i = 0, \quad v_i \in \sigma, \tag{7}$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ runs over generators of the cone σ. It follows from the completeness of Σ, that the system (7) has the unique solution.

Complete fan Σ is said to be *normal*, if there exists a collection of numbers $\{b_i\}_1^m$ such, that for every vertex $\boldsymbol{u}_\sigma$ and linear form $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + b_i$

(a) $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_\sigma \rangle + b_i \geqslant 0$;

(b) $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_\sigma \rangle + b_i = 0$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{v}_i \in \sigma$.

In this case the fan Σ is also referred to as the *normal* fan of a polytope, given by the system of inequalities (6).

Complete fan Σ is said to be *weakly normal*, if there exists a collection of numbers $\{b_i\}_1^m$ such, that for every vertex $\boldsymbol{u}_\sigma$ and linear form $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + b_i$

(a) $\langle \boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{u}_\sigma \rangle + b_i \geqslant 0$;

(b) the set defined by the system of inequalities (6), has the maximal dimension $\dim N^*_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Clearly, any normal fan is weakly normal. As follows from the example below, converse is not true.

Example 5.4. Consider in the vector space $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ with the basis (e_1, e_2, e_3) the fan Σ, whose one-dimensional cones are spanned by the following 7 vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_1 = -e_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2 = -e_2, \boldsymbol{v}_3 = -e_3, \boldsymbol{v}_4 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \boldsymbol{v}_5 = e_1 + e_2, \boldsymbol{v}_6 =$

$e_2 + e_3$, $\boldsymbol{v}_7 = e_1 + e_3$, having 10 maximal cones, spanned by the following tuples of v_i's: $\{1,2,3\}$, $\{1,2,6\}$, $\{1,3,5\}$, $\{1,5,6\}$, $\{2,3,7\}$, $\{2,6,7\}$, $\{3,5,7\}$, $\{4,5,6\}$, $\{4,5,7\}$, $\{4,6,7\}$.

This fan is presented in [2, Section 3.4] as an example of non-normal fan. Consider collection of numbers $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$, $b_4 = b_5 = b_6 = b_7 = 1$. It is easy to check that the vertices are $\boldsymbol{0}, -e_1^*, -e_2^*, -e_3^* \in V^*$ and every linear form $\boldsymbol{u} \mapsto \langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}_i \rangle + b_i$ is non-negative on every vertex

There is the following important result in the theory of toric varieties:

Theorem 5.5 ([2, Sec. 3.4])**.** *Non-singular toric variety V_Σ is projective if and only if the fan Σ is normal.*

Theorem 5.5 implies that, if the fan Σ is normal, the manifold V_Σ admits Kähler form ω, which is the curvature form of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$. As we will show below, there is a similar result regarding transverse-Kähler forms on $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ be a manifold defined by Construction 4.7. Suppose that the fan $q(\Sigma)$ is weakly normal. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a C^k-smooth form $\omega_\mathcal{F}$ transverse-Kähler with respect to the canonical foliation on the open $T_\mathbb{C}/H$-orbit.*

Remark 5.7. Since the kernel spaces of the form $\omega_\mathcal{F}$ are required to coincide with the tangent spaces $T\mathcal{F}$ to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ only on the dense open set, the normality condition of Theroem 5.5 could be somewhat weakened.

Proof. The proof follows the scheme below:

1. on every chart $U_\sigma \subset V_\Sigma$ we construct C^{k+2}-smooth function $\Phi_\sigma \colon U_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}_>$;
2. on every chart $U_\sigma \subset V_\Sigma$ we define the form $\omega_\sigma = dd^c \log \Phi_\sigma$, where $d^c = J \circ d \circ J$ is a real differential operator (J is the operator of the almost complex structure);
3. check that the forms ω_{σ_1} and ω_{σ_2} coincide $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2}$, providing non-negative form ω on V_Σ;
4. check that the form ω descends to the from $\omega_\mathcal{F}$ on V_Σ/H;
5. prove that $\ker \omega_\mathcal{F} = T\mathcal{F}$ on $T_\mathbb{C}/H$.

1. Consider character lattice $N^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$, and let $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \dots \boldsymbol{a}_m$ be the primitive generators of one-dimensional cones of Σ. Let us fix the cone σ, spanned by vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_t}$. By the definition, integral character $\boldsymbol{w} \in \check{\sigma} \cap N^*$ defines the regular function $\chi_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon U_\sigma \to \mathbb{C}$. Similarly, any character $\boldsymbol{w} \in \check{\sigma}$, defines continuous function

$$\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon U_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant}.$$

$N^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ — , $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \dots \boldsymbol{a}_m$ — Σ. σ, $\boldsymbol{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_t}$. , $\boldsymbol{w} \in \check{\sigma} \cap N^*$ $\chi_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon U_\sigma \to \mathbb{C}$. , $\boldsymbol{w} \in \check{\sigma}$

$$\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon U_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant}.$$

Extending arbitrarily the set of vectors $\{\boldsymbol{a}_{i_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_t}\}$ to an integral basis $\{\boldsymbol{a}'_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}'_{\dim N}\}$ of the lattice N (this can be done, since V_Σ is non-singular and, consequently, the fan Σ is regular) the functions $\chi_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ can be given in coordinates $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{\dim N})$ on $U_\sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\dim \sigma} \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim N - \dim \sigma}$:

$$\chi_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon (z_1, \dots, z_{\dim N}) \mapsto \prod_i z_i^{\langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{a}'_i \rangle}$$

$$\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon (z_1, \dots, z_{\dim N}) \mapsto \prod_i |z_i|^{\langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{a}'_i \rangle}$$

Note, that the function $\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ is C^k-smooth, if all the values $\langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_j} \rangle$ are 0 or greater than k.

Let us remind, that $q \colon \mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{t}/p(\mathfrak{h})$ is a natural projection. By the assumption of the theorem the fan $q(\Sigma)$ is weakly normal. Let $\boldsymbol{v}_i = q(\boldsymbol{a}_i)$ be the generators of its one-dimensional cones, and $b_1, \dots, b_m$ be a collection of numbers defining the weakly normal structure. For the cone σ we fix character $\boldsymbol{b}_\sigma \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that for all generators $\boldsymbol{a}_{i_j}$ of σ equality $\langle \boldsymbol{b}_\sigma, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_j} \rangle = b_{i_j}$ holds.

For every vertex $\boldsymbol{u}_\tau \in (\mathfrak{t}/p(\mathfrak{h}))^*$, where $\tau \in \Sigma$ is a maximal cone, we define the character

$$\boldsymbol{w}_\tau = q^*(\boldsymbol{u}_\tau) + \boldsymbol{b}_\sigma.$$

Lemma 5.8. *Character $\boldsymbol{w}_\tau$ belongs to the cone $\check{\sigma} \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$*

Proof of the lemma. We have to check, that for every vector $\boldsymbol{a} \in \sigma$ the value $\langle \boldsymbol{w}_\tau, \boldsymbol{a} \rangle$ is non-negative. Since the cone σ is spanned by the vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{i_s}$, it suffices to check it for its generators:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{w}_\tau, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_s} \rangle = \langle q^*(\boldsymbol{u}_\tau) + \boldsymbol{b}_\sigma, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_s} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_\tau, q(\boldsymbol{a}_{i_s}) \rangle + b_{i_s} = \langle \boldsymbol{u}_\tau, \boldsymbol{v}_{i_s} \rangle + b_{i_s} \geqslant 0,$$

where the last inequality holds due to the weak normality of $q(\Sigma)$. □

So, every character $\boldsymbol{w}_\tau$ defines non-negative function $\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}_\tau}$ on U_σ. Moreover, if $\sigma \subset \tau$, the function is strictly positive, since the values $\langle \boldsymbol{w}_\tau, \boldsymbol{a}_{i_s}\rangle$ corresponding to the zero coordinates of $z \in U_\sigma$ vanish. Thus, the function

$$\Phi_\sigma = \sum_\tau \chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{w}_\tau}$$

is strictly positive on U_σ.

Multiplying, if necessary, all characters $\boldsymbol{w}_\tau$ by a positive constant, one can guarantee Φ_σ has preassigned smoothness class.

2. Let us define the form $\omega_\sigma = dd^c \log \Phi_\sigma$. According the general result [18, Th. I.5.6], the function $\log \Phi_\sigma$ is *plurisubharmonic*, i.e. the from ω_σ is non-negative.

3. Consider two functions $\log \Phi_{\sigma_1}$ and $\log \Phi_{\sigma_2}$ on $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2} = U_{\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2}$. The definition of functions Φ_{σ_i} imply that $\log \Phi_{\sigma_1} - \log \Phi_{\sigma_2} = \log \sum_\tau (\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}) = \log C\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$, where C is the number of vertices and $\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{b}_{\sigma_1} - \boldsymbol{b}_{\sigma_2}$. Since the left hand side is a well-defined function, the function $\chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} = |z|^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ does not vanish on $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2}$. It follows from the Poincaré-Lelong formula [18, Th. II.2.15], that $dd^c \log \chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} = 0$, therefore the forms ω_{σ_1} and ω_{σ_2} coincide on $U_{\sigma_1} \cap U_{\sigma_2}$. Consequently all the forms ω_σ are glued into the global form ω on V_Σ: $\omega|_{U_\sigma} = \omega_\sigma$.

4. 5. Proof of these steps follows the proof of Theorem 4.6 in [11].

Lemma 5.9. *Let us consider a point z in the open part $T_{\mathbb{C}} \subset V_\Sigma$. The kernel of ω in $T_z V_\Sigma = T_z T_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \mathfrak{t} \oplus J\mathfrak{t}$ is $\ker q \oplus J \ker q$.*

Proof of the lemma. Consider the function $\Phi = \Phi_\sigma$. Since the function is constant along the toric part $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\omega(\mathfrak{t}, J\mathfrak{t}) = 0$. Moreover, the form ω is J-invariant, $J \ker \omega|_{\mathfrak{t}} = \ker \omega|_{J\mathfrak{t}}$, therefore $\ker \omega = \ker \omega|_{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus J \ker \omega|_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

To compute the kernel $\ker \omega|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ we find for every $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathfrak{t}$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \log \Phi(\exp \lambda \boldsymbol{v} \cdot z)|_{\lambda=0}.$$

Similarly to [11, Lemma 4.7] one obtains:

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \log \Phi(\exp \lambda \boldsymbol{v} \cdot z)|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{1}{\Phi^2(z)} \left(\sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2} \chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\tau_1}(z) \chi^{\mathbb{R}}_{\tau_2}(z) \langle \boldsymbol{w}_{\tau_1} - \boldsymbol{w}_{\tau_2}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle^2 \right).$$

The right hand side vanishes if and only if the values of all characters $\boldsymbol{w}_{\tau_1} - \boldsymbol{w}_{\tau_2}$ on the vector v is zero, or, equivalently, $\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau_1} - \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau_2}, q(\boldsymbol{v}) \rangle = 0$. Condition (b) from Definition 5.3 of a weakly normal fan imply that this

happens if and only if $q(\boldsymbol{v}) = 0$. Hence, for $z \in T_{\mathbb{C}}$ we have: $\ker \omega|_{\mathfrak{t}} = \ker q$ and $\ker \omega = \ker q \oplus J \ker q = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$.

□

It follows from the lemma that the form ω is *basic* with respect to the orbits of the H acting on the open part $T_{\mathbb{C}} \subset V_\Sigma$, i.e. for any vector $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathfrak{h}$ and the corresponding fundamental vector field V we have: $\mathcal{L}_V \omega|_{T_{\mathbb{C}}} = i_V \omega|_{T_{\mathbb{C}}} = 0$. By continuity reasons ω is basic on the whole V_Σ, thus it descends to the form on V_Σ/H, i.e. there exists such form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ on $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}) = V_\Sigma/H$, that $\omega = \pi^* \omega_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\pi \colon V_\Sigma \to M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ is the natural projection. The kernels of the form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ at the points of $T_{\mathbb{C}}/H$ coincide with the tangent spaces to the orbits of the group H', see Construction 3.3. Thus the form $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ is transverse-Kähler with respect to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. □

5.2 Meromorphic functions and analytic subsets

As an application of Theorems 4.9 and 5.6 we prove some results on complex geometry of manifolds $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$.

Theorem 5.10. *Let M be a compact complex manifolds equipped with a maximal torus action obtained via Construction 4.6: $M = U(\mathcal{K})/H$, where $H \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}$. Assume, that (i) $U(\mathcal{K})$ is simply connected; (ii) the only liner function $\boldsymbol{u} \in N^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ vanishing identically on $p(\mathfrak{h})$ is zero (here N^* is the character lattice of T). Then there are finitely many analytic subsets of codimension one on M.*

Corollary 5.11. *There are no non-constant meromorphic functions on the manifolds satisfying hypothesis of Theorem 5.10.*

The proof of the both statements literally follows proff of Theorem 4.15 and Corollary 4.16 in [11].

Given the fixed Σ, the set of complex subspaces $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$, satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of Construction 4.7 forms open (in the ordinary topology) subset $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ of the complex Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The condition of normality of the fan $q(\Sigma)$ is, clearly, also open.

Definition 5.12. Some statement is said to be true for *the general complex structure* on $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$, if the set of subspaces $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ such that S does not hold has zero Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 5.13. *Let $M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ be a complex manifold endowed with a general complex structure, such that the fan $q(\Sigma)$ is normal. Let $Y \subset M(\Sigma, \mathfrak{h})$ be an analytic subset. Then there are two possibilities:*

(i) Y is the closure of an orbit $Y = \overline{T_{\mathbb{C}}/H \cdot x}$;

(ii) Y is a compact torus contained in a leaf of the canonical foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. According to the remark above, the set of $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{M}_\Sigma$, such that the fan $q(\Sigma)$ is normal, is open. Hence, for a general complex structure such that the fan $q(\Sigma)$ is normal, the hypothesis of Theorem 4.18 [11] holds on we can repeat the proof. □

References

[1] V.I. Danilov. The goemetry of toric varieties. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 33(2):85–134, 1978.

[2] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[3] D. Cox. The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety. *J. Algebraic Geometry*, 4:17–50, 1995.

[4] R. Stanley. The number of faces of a simplicial convex polytope. *Advances in Math.*, 35:236–238, 1980.

[5] J.E. Pommersheim. Toric varieties, lattice points and dedekind sums. *Math. Ann.*, 295:1–24, 1993.

[6] N.C. Leung and Reiner V. The signature of a toric variety. *Duke Math. J.*, 111(2):253–286, 2002.

[7] L. Meersseman. A new geometric construction of compact complex manifolds in any dimension. *Math. Ann.*, 317:79–115, 2000.

[8] F. Bosio and L. Meersseman. Real quadrics in cn , complex manifolds and convex polytopes. *Acta Math.*, 197:53–127, 2006.

[9] J. Tambour. Lvmb manifolds and simplicial spheres. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 62:1289–1317, 2012.

[10] L. Meersseman and A. Verjovsky. Holomorphic principal bundles over projective toric varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 572:57–96, 2004.

[11] T.Panov, Y. Ustinovsky, and M. Verbitsky. Complex geometry of moment-angle manifolds. 2013.

[12] F. Battaglia and D. Zaffran. Foliations modelling nonrational simplicial toric varieties. 2011.

[13] T.Panov and Y. Ustinovsky. Complex-analytic structures on moment-angle manifolds. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 12(1):149–172, 2012.

[14] H. Ishida. Complex manifolds with maximal torus actions. 2013.

[15] G. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic press, 1972.

[16] Thomas Delzant. Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment. *Bulletin de la Société Math. de France*, 116(3):315–339, 1988.

[17] Viktor Buchstaber and Taras Panov. *Torus Actions and Their Applications in Topology and Combinatorics*. University Lecture Series, 2002.

[18] J.-P Demailly. *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*. A book project; available at `http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/documents.html`.